

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,759	07/23/2001	Lee C. Harrison	922-141	2504
7590 01/25/2005		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			LEE, ANDREW CHUNG CHEUNG	
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Rd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			2664	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/909,759	HARRISON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Andrew C Lee	2664	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 July 2001.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Çlaim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□] accepted or b)⊠ objected to t	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment/s)			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/909,759

Art Unit: 2664

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: page 3, the reference element "a node 10". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Fig 2, the reference element "20"; Fig 3, the reference element "30"; Fig 4, the reference element "40". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet,

Application/Control Number: 09/909,759 Page 3

Art Unit: 2664

even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - For the whole disclosure, the full stops/periods are missing at the end of the complete sentence or at the end of paragraph.
 - Page 3, line 17, the reference element "a node 10" is not indicated in the figure.
 - Page 5, line 15, the closing bracket and period are missing at the end
 "Telephony Control Protocol Specification"
 - Page 8, line 1, the reference element "line 24" is a typo (?).
 - Page 9, line 29, the Office would request the Applicants to clarify the input signal range. The Office assumes it is a typo. It should be " 2.400 GHZ to 2.480 GHz" instead of "2400 GHz to 2480 GHz"
 - The Office suggests that the reference element "HCI" using full name should be mentioned somewhere in the disclosure before introducing the acronym.
 - Page 12, line 12, there is a typo. The reference element "dunb" should be .
 "dumb".

Application/Control Number: 09/909,759

Art Unit: 2664

The drawing (5/6), the title is missing.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed (U.S. Patent No. 6665549 B1) in view of Moberg et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6578084 B1).

Regarding Claims 1, 6, Reed discloses the limitation of a system for receiving data signals (Fig. 1, column 5, lines 4 – 18), comprising a radio receiver including a baseband processor for providing serial data signals composed of data frames each including a packet payload (column 5, lines 28 – 40), Reed does not disclose expressly means for encapsulating said data frames within Ethernet packets, a transmission link for conveying said Ethernet packets, and means for receiving the Ethernet packets from said link and de-encapsulating said Ethernet packets to recover said data frames.

Moberg et al. discloses the limitation of means for encapsulating said data frames within Ethernet packets (Fig. 2, column 5, lines 20 - 25), a transmission link for conveying said

Art Unit: 2664

Ethernet packets (Fig. 2, element 16, column 5, lines 1 – 2), and means for receiving the Ethernet packets from said link and de-encapsulating said Ethernet packets to recover said data frames (column 5, lines 55 – 57). It would have been obvious to modify Reed to include means for encapsulating said data frames within Ethernet packets, a transmission link for conveying said Ethernet packets, and means for receiving the Ethernet packets from said link and de-encapsulating said Ethernet packets to recover said data frames such as that taught by Moberg et al in order to provide connections to the Internet or to the networks of other organizations.

Regarding claim 2, Reed discloses the limitation of a system for receiving data signals (Fig. 1, column 5, lines 4 – 18), Reed does not disclose expressly a system according to claim 1 and further comprising means, coupled to receive said data frames from said means for receiving, for converting said data frames into addressed Ethernet packets. Moberg et al. discloses the limitation of a system according to claim 1 and further comprising means, coupled to receive said data frames from said means for receiving (column 7, lines 26 – 35), for converting said data frames into addressed Ethernet packets (column 8, lines 46 – 49). It would have been obvious to modify Reed to include a a system according to claim 1 and further comprising means, coupled to receive said data frames from said means for receiving, for converting said data frames into addressed Ethernet packets such as that taught by Moberg et al. in order to provide connections to the Internet or to the networks of other organizations.

Regarding claim 5, Reed discloses the limitation of a system according to claim 1 wherein said radio receiver is a spread spectrum radio receiver (column 5, lines 14 – 17; lines 36 – 38).

Page 6

6. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed (U.S. Patent No. 6665549 B1) and Moberg et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6578084 B1) as applied to claims 1, 2, 5, 6 above, and further in view of Bordonaro et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6798775 B1).

Regarding claims 3 and 7, both Reed and Moberg et al. do not disclose expressly a system according to claim 1 and including means for tagging said data frames before they are encapsulated within Ethernet packets. Bordonaro et al. dislcoses the limitation of expressly a system according to claim 1 and including means for tagging said data frames before they are encapsulated within Ethernet packets (Fig. 7, element 720; column 9, lines 50 – 56). It would have been obvious to modify Reed and Moberg et al to include a system according to claim 1 and including means for tagging said data frames before they are encapsulated within Ethernet packets such as that taught by Bordonaro et al. in order to transfer of packets over a computer network, and more particularly to the use of a virtual local area network to address packets to a desired group of users throughout a wide area network.

Regarding claims 4 and 8, both Reed and Moberg et al. do not disclose

expressly a system according to claim 1 wherein the means for encapsulating inserts at least one of said data frames followed by padding data into the message section of an Ethernet frame. Bordonaro et al. dislcoses the limitation of a system according to claim 1 wherein the means for encapsulating inserts at least one of said data frames followed by padding data into the message section of an Ethernet frame (Fig. 7, element 716, column 9, lines 40 – 41). It would have been obvious to modify both Reed and Moberg et al. to include a a system according to claim 1 wherein the means for encapsulating inserts at least one of said data frames followed by padding data into the message section of an Ethernet frame such as that taught by Bordonaro et al. in order to transfer of packets over a computer network, and more particularly to the use of a virtual local area network to address packets to a desired group of users throughout a wide area network.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-3131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,759

Art Unit: 2664

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ACL

AU:2664

21Jan 2005

Ajit Patei Primary Examiner

Page 8